

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		LING DATE	DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,471	10/671,471 09/29/2003		Shinichi Kawano	243104US6	7960
22850	7590	09/22/2006		EXAM	INER
C. IRVIN N			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314	2193	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/671,471	KAWANO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		William H. Wood	2193
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on . This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all	This action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country the oath or declaration is objected to by the	3 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 2304

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are pending and have been examined.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 28 January 2004 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 8 is directed toward software alone and is therefore not patentable.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

Art Unit: 2193

assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. **10/694,777** in view of **Gronemeyer** et al. (USPN 6,363,359).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Current Claim 1

10/694,777, claim 1 disclosed:

A software updating system, comprising: a plurality of update data supplying apparatuses for supplying update confirmation information regarding the presence or absence of updates for predetermined software via a network; an electronic device for executing a process in accordance with said update confirmation information supplied from said update data supplying apparatus, wherein said electronic device includes: judging means for judging whether or not, among installed software, there exists software for which the presence of updates needs to be confirmed; detection means for detecting said update data supplying apparatus to which an inquiry is to be made on the presence of updates for said software for which said judging means has judged a confirmation

Art Unit: 2193

to be necessary; inquiring means for making an inquiry on the presence of updates for said software to said update data supplying apparatus detected by said detection means; and execution means for executing a process based on said update confirmation information supplied from said update data supplying apparatus in response to said inquiry made by said inquiring means, and said update data supplying apparatus includes: confirmation means for confirming the presence or absence of updates for said software regarding which there was an inquiry on the presence of updates by said inquiring means of said electronic device; and supplying means for supplying to said electronic device said update confirmation information in accordance with a confirmation result by said confirmation means.

Page 4

Gronemeyer disclosed acquisition means for acquiring update management data indicating a timing for confirming the presence of updates for installed software (column 6, lines 64-65, the timing of when the sentinel is loaded; additionally column 2, lines 58-65); and storage means for storing said update management data acquired by said acquisition means (column 6, lines 64-65, the sentinel is stored).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the software updating system of **10/694,777** with timing information for performing a timing as found in **Gronemeyer**'s teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to self-test and thus ensure system integrity (**Gronemeyer**: column 6, line 61 to column 7, line 2).

Current Claim 2

10/694,777 claim 2 disclosed:

The software updating system according to claim 1, wherein said update confirmation information is one of update data for updating said software and information indicating the presence or absence of updates.

Current Claim 3

In view of **10/694,777** and **Gronemeyer** for claim 1 above, **Gronemeyer** disclosed the software updating system according to claim 1, wherein said update management data is attached to said installed software, and said acquisition means of said electronic device acquires said attached update management data from said installed software (column 7, lines 25-26, "configuration log").

Current Claim 4

Application/Control Number: 10/671,471 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

In view of **10/694,777** and **Gronemeyer** for claim 1 above, **Gronemeyer** disclosed the software updating system according to claim 1, wherein said acquisition means of said electronic device acquires said update management data from a developer of said installed software (column 7, lines 25-26, "configuration log", installed software originates from a developer).

Current Claims 5-8

Substantially the same as for claims 1-4.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by **Gronemeyer** et al. (USPN 6,363,359).

Claim 1

Gronemeyer disclosed a software updating system, comprising:

Art Unit: 2193

an update data supplying apparatus for supplying update confirmation information regarding the presence or absence of updates for predetermined software via a network (figure 4, elements 342 and 344; column 7, lines 56-58, "server");

an electronic device for executing a process in accordance with said update confirmation information supplied from said update data supplying apparatus (figure 4, element 302; column 7, lines 52-54, "client computing device"), wherein

said electronic device includes:

acquisition means for acquiring update management data indicating a timing for confirming the presence of updates for installed software (column 6, lines 64-65, the timing of when the sentinel is loaded; additionally column 2, lines 58-65);

storage means for storing said update management data acquired by said acquisition means (column 6, lines 64-65, the sentinel is stored);

judging means for judging based on said update management data whether or not, among said installed software, there exists software for which the presence of updates needs to be confirmed (figure 3, element 202; column 7, lines 25-26);

detection means for detecting said update data supplying apparatus to which an inquiry is to be made on the presence of updates

Art Unit: 2193

for said software for which said judging means has judged a confirmation to be necessary (figure 3, element 206; column 7, lines 31-32);

Page 7

inquiring means for making an inquiry on the presence of updates for said software to said update data supplying apparatus detected by said detection means (figure 3, element 208; column 7, lines 56-58); and

execution means for executing a process based on said update confirmation information supplied from said update data supplying apparatus in response to said inquiry made by said inquiring means (figure 3, element 210), and

said update data supplying apparatus includes:

confirmation means for confirming the presence or absence of updates for said software regarding which there was an inquiry on the presence of updates by said inquiring means of said electronic device (column 7, lines 56-58, to send update options those options are first determined, not "available"; and

supplying means for supplying to said electronic device said update confirmation information in accordance with a confirmation result by said confirmation means (column 7, lines 56-58).

Claim 2

Gronemeyer disclosed the software updating system according to claim 1, wherein said update confirmation information is one of update data for

Art Unit: 2193

updating said software and information indicating the presence or absence of

Page 8

updates (column 7, lines 56-28).

Claim 3

Gronemeyer disclosed the software updating system according to claim 1,

wherein said update management data is attached to said installed software,

and said acquisition means of said electronic device acquires said attached

update management data from said installed software (column 7, lines 25-26,

"configuration log").

Claim 4

Gronemeyer disclosed the software updating system according to claim 1,

wherein said acquisition means of said electronic device acquires said update

management data from a developer of said installed software (column 7, lines

25-26, "configuration log", installed software originates from a developer).

Claims 5-8

The limitations of claims 5-8 are substantially the same as for claims 1-4 and

as such are rejected in the same manner.

Correspondence Information

Art Unit: 2193

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193

Page 9

September 18, 2006